UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,288	06/08/2000	Guo-Liang Yu	PF343P3C5	1519
73326 7590 02/22/2011 Leydig, Voit & Mayer Ltd.			EXAMINER	
Two Prudential	Plaza - Suite 4900		BUNNER, BRIDGET E	
180 North Stetson Avenue Chicago, IL 60601-6731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chgpatent@leydig.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/589,288	YU ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bridget E. Bunner	1647
All Participants:	Status of Application: RC	E
(1) <u>Bridget E. Bunner</u> .	(3) <i>John Kilyk, Jr.</i> .	
(2) <u>Rebecca Dise</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 February 2011	Time: <u>4:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Bridget E Bunner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claim set filed with the request for continued examination on 23 September 2010 did not incorporate the Examiner's amendment of 22 September 2009. The Examiner requested the filing of a new claim set to reflect the Examiner's amendment. Ms. Dise and Mr. Kilyk indicated that they would be able to submit a new claim set.